Hazelcast: distributed data structures to scale your app out!

Petr Pleshachkov, petr@hazelcast.com



Hazelcast

- The leading open source Java in-memory data grid
 - https://github.com/hazelcast, Apache 2 License
- Distributed and elastic Java collections and concurrency primitives
 - Map, Queue, Set, List, etc
 - IAtomicLong, IAtomicReference, ISemaphore and FencedLock
- Distributed computations
 - Distributed ExecutorService, EntryProcessor, messaging, etc



Distributed in-memory Data Grid

- Distributed caching
- Keeping data in local JVM
 - Fast access and processing
 - NearCache
- Elastic scalability, high throughput and low latency, high availability
 - Data partitioning and distribution
 - Data replication across cluster to tolerate failures





```
class IMap<K, V> extends ConcurrentMap<K, V> {
   void put(K key, V value) {
     // write key/value somewhere in the cluster
   }
```

```
V get(Object key) {
    // find value associated with the key
```



IMap basics

public class DistributedMap {

```
public static void main(String[] args) {
```

HazelcastInstance hz = Hazelcast.newHazelcastInstance(new Config()); ConcurrentMap<String, String> map = hz.getMap("my-distributed-map"); map.put("key", "value"); map.get("key");

```
//ConcurrentMap methods
map.putIfAbsent("somekey", "somevalue");
map.replace("key", "value", "newvalue");
```



Data Partitioning

- Fixed number of partitions (default 271)
- Each key falls into a partition
 partitionId = hash(key) % PARTITION_COINT
- Partition ownerships are reassigned upon membership change
- Backup partition for redundancy



Data Partitioning (2)



- Repartitioning occurs when a node joins/leaves the cluster
- All nodes are equal and redundant
- The minimum amount of partitions will be moved to scale out









Embedded Topology





Entry Processor



Good: send Function to Data

public class EntryProcessorMain {

}

```
public static void main(String[] args) {
    HazelcastInstance hz = Hazelcast.newHazelcastInstance();
    IMap<String, Integer> map = hz.getMap("map");
    map.put("key", 0);
    map.executeOnKey("key", new IncEntryProcessor());
    System.out.println("new value:" + map.get("key"));
}
```

```
public static class IncEntryProcessor extends
AbstractEntryProcessor<String, Integer> {
    public Object process(Map.Entry<String, Integer> entry) {
        int oldValue = entry.getValue();
        int newValue = oldValue + 1;
        entry.setValue(newValue);
        return null;
```



Cluster management

- A Hazelcast cluster is managed by a single node, which is called the *master*.
- Hazelcast master election is simple and practiacal.
- The oldest member in the cluster becomes the master node.
- Hazelcast maintains two pieces of information about the cluster: *member list* and *partition table*.



Cluster management (2)

- Member failures are detected by socket errors and heartbeat timeouts.
- When a failure is detected, that member is marked as suspect.
- From a member's view, if all members before itself in the list are suspect;
 - That member claims its mastership.
 - $\circ~$ It forms a cluster with the members that accept its claim.
 - Members which don't accept or respond to the claim are excluded in the cluster, and they eventually become split.



Replication challenges

- Where to perform reads and writes?
- How to keep replicas sync?
- How to handle read/write concurrency?
- How to handle failures?



CAP theorem

- Consistency
- Availability
- Partition tolerance
- Eric Brew's **CAP theorem** implies that in the presence of a network partition, one has to choose between consistency and availability.
- CP versus AP



AP system





CP system





Consistency/Latency trade-off





PACELC theorem

- **CAP** theorem is relevant only in a rare case of network partitioning
- Daniel Abadi's PACELC theorem:
 - If there is partitioning (P), choose between consistency (C) and availability (A)
 - Else (E), during normal operation, choose between latency and consistency (LC)



Replication in Hazelcast

- Operations are sent to primary copy
- All operations on the same partition are handled by the same thread
- Strong consistency when primary is reachable
- A primary copy is elected for every partition
- Lazy replication model
 - The async mode works as fire and forget
 - In sync mode, the caller block until replica updates are applied and acknowledgments are sent back to the caller
- High throughput and availability



Split-brain syndrome





Split-brain merge policy

public interface SplitBrainMergePolicy<V, T extends MergingValue<V>>
 extends DataSerializable {

V merge(T mergingValue, T existingValue);

- DiscardMergePolicy, LatestUpdateMergePolicy, LatestAccessMergePolicy, HigherHitsMergePolicy, etc.
- Merging may cause lost updates!



Hazelcast is AP/EC

- Consistency is traded to availability, **AP**
- Consistency latency trade-off is minimal during normal operation, **EC**



NearCache



• NearCache mechanism mitigates latency concern

- Retains data on the client process which requested it
- Second request processed locally
- Updates asynchronously broadcasted to the clients
- NearCache is eventually consistent!



Hazelcast CP susbstem

- Concurrency APIs on top of the **Raft** consensus algorithm
- CP with respect to the CAP principle
- Linearizability in all cases, including client and server failures, network partitions
- Prevent split-brain syndrome
- Verified via extensive Jepsen test suite
- IAtomicLong, IAtomicReference, ISemaphore, and FencedLock



Thanks

- https://github.com/hazelcast
- petr@hazelcast.com

